



GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE VILUPPURAM

Under the aegis of

DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL STUDIES

TAMILNADU STATE MOOT COMMITTEE

Organizes

STATE LEVEL ENGLISH MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2024



01st - 03rd March 2024



Government Law College Viluppuram



INDEX

Official Invite.....	3
Tamil Nadu State Moot Court Committee.....	4
Directorate of Legal Studies, Tamil Nadu.....	5
Government Law College, Viluppuram.....	5
Moot Proposition.....	6
Moot Rules.....	11
Schedule.....	20
Registration Form.....	21
Organizing Committee.....	23



OFFICIAL INVITE

Dear Sir/Madam,

Greetings from Government Law College, Viluppuram,

Under the guidance of Directorate of Legal Studies, Chennai and Tamil Nadu State Moot Committee, it gives us immense pleasure in organising the State Level English Moot Court Competition, 2024 at Government Law College, Viluppuram which is scheduled from 01st March to 03rd March, 2024. We take this opportunity to invite your esteemed institution to participate in the competition and extend to us the privilege of hosting your team. It will be our endeavor to create an atmosphere of invigorating intellectual challenges and the opportunity to learn court craft through simulated experiences in the competition. We look forward to a positive response.

The Moot Proposition, Rules of the competition, Schedule, Registration Form, Travel and Accommodation request details are enclosed herewith.

Interested institutions are requested to register provisionally of their participation through google forms.

Warm Regards,
Dr. S. Krishnaleela,
Principal (FAC)
Government Law College, Viluppuram.

TAMIL NADU STATE MOOT COMMITTEE

Patron

Prof. Dr. J. Vijayalalshmi

Director of Legal Studies,
Government of Tamil Nadu.

Coordinator

Prof. Dr. N. Kayalvizhi

Principal,
Chennai Dr. Ambedkar Government Law College,
Pattaraiperumbadur

Additional Coordinator

Dr. S. Durgalalshmi

Principal (FAC),
Government Law College, Salem

Dr. N. Ramapiran Ranjith Singh

Principal (FAC),
Government Law College, Karaikudi

Members

Dr. P. Sivathas

Principal (FAC),
Government Law College,
Dharmapuri

Thiru. X. Inbasekaran

Assistant Professor,
Government Law College,
Thiruchirapalli

Dr. B. Muthu Kumar

Assistant Professor,
Government Law College,
Ramanathapuram

Tmt. V. Vijayashri

Assistant Professor,
Chennai Dr. Ambedkar
Government Law College,
Pudupakkam

Thiru. M. Pranov Vishnu Arjun

Assistant Professor,
Government Law College,
Coimbatore

Dr. V. Ramya

Assistant Professor,
Government Law College,
Vellore

DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL STUDIES

The Directorate of Legal Studies was established in the year 1953 with the primary objective of improving the standard of legal education in the State of Tamil Nadu. The creation of this Department paved the way for imparting an advanced Legal Education to poor and downtrodden people of the State of Tamil Nadu. Under the aegis of the Directorate of Legal Studies there are fifteen Government Law Colleges effectively functioning in the State. It has a vision to establish new Law Colleges in every district of the State of Tamil Nadu so as to cater to the ever growing needs of the Bar, Bench and the society.

Prof. (Dr.) J. Vijayalakshmi, a distinguished academician and the first woman Director of the Department of Legal Studies is providing constant support and guidance to the legal academia to ensure excellent academic atmosphere in every Government Law College of Tamil Nadu.

GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE VILUPPURAM

Government Law College, Viluppuram functioning under its Administrative Department of Directorate of Legal Studies affiliated to the Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University is dynamic in promoting access to justice by imparting quality legal education with value boundedness and public spirit in the students. The Campus provides 5 years BALLB and 3years LLB Undergraduate Law Courses. The campus also provides L.L.M program in Cyber Security and Information Technology and Family Laws. The Campus is conducting various programs encouraging development of all kinds of skills and extra curricular activities for law students.

The Campus has a vibrant atmosphere and effective administration with a assistance and guidance of The Principal and the hard working faculties who are instrumental in raising the standards of the Institution. The students are the great pride of our Institution. Our college students have achieved great success in various sports, cultural, extra-curricular and co- curricular activities.



STATE LEVEL ENGLISH MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2024

GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE VILUPPURAM

Under the aegis of

DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL STUDIES, CHENNAI

01st - 03rd March, 2024

MOOT PROPOSITION

Randia, located in South Asia, is a diverse nation with a population exceeding 1.3 billion. Known for its rich history, the country gained independence from British rule in 1947. Geographically varied, Randia has a tropical climate and a vibrant mix of cultures, languages, field and religions. Economically, it has seen significant growth, especially in sectors like education, information technology and services. Randia's cultural landscape is marked by diverse traditions, festivals, and ancient practices like yoga and Ayurveda.

Randia's educational system, rooted in ancient centers of learning like Takshashila and Nalanda, showcases a rich and diverse tradition. From the Gurukul system to modern institutions like the Randian Institutes of Technology (RITs) and Randian Institutes of Management (RIMs), the country's education landscape spans traditional, technical, and scientific domains. The curriculum encompasses languages, literature, and arts, reflecting Randia's cultural diversity. While challenges such as access and regional disparities persist, ongoing reforms aim to make education more inclusive and globally competitive. Randia actively engages in international collaborations, fostering knowledge exchange and contributing to a globalized educational environment. With a commitment to academic excellence and a dynamic approach, Randia's educational system remains a significant force in shaping the intellect and talents of its students.

While there is a prevailing positivity in various aspects, it is essential to acknowledge the existence of a darker side, characterized by scams and scandals emerging from the education sector.

In one of the Randian state of Dhihar, a scandal unfolded that shooked the nation. On the fateful day of 22-May-2023, the Dhihar School Examination Board (DSEB) proudly announced its Board Examination results. Ms. Meera Rai from A.J.E. High School in Tamisel district emerged as the Arts and Humanities topper, Mr. Ravi Raju from Shamshu High School in Banpari district claimed the top spot in the Science stream, and Madesh Kumar from Aly-Nish High School in Ajespur district secured the first position in English scoring 99 out of 100.

The celebration, however, turned to disbelief when these toppers were interviewed by a renowned news channel namely Mars Tv. Meera, the Arts and Humanities topper, mispronounced the subject 'Political Science' as 'Prodigal Science' and amusingly associated it with cooking. Ravi, the Science topper, stumbled when asked about basic concepts like electrons and protons, incorrectly asserting that aluminum was the most reactive element. Madesh, the English topper, struggled to speak in English also doesn't know even the fundamental grammar concepts like Noun and Pronoun.

This revelation shook the foundations of the DSEB, exposing a scandal of epic proportions. The academic integrity of the board was called into question as the nation witnessed the embarrassing spectacle of its purported top scholars failing to answer elementary questions in their respective fields.

The situation has generated significant media attention and public discussion. The leader of the opposition has also called for attention Motion & demanded for CBI Investigation for the Scandal. But the State Government has referred the matter to CB-CID.

The leader of Opposition through his All Randia People's Party filed a petition before Hon'ble High Court to order for CBI Investigation. The matter is pending before the High Court.

The ripples of the scandal reached beyond the academic sphere and into the corporate realm. Woogle Pvt. Ltd, a global tech giant with its registered head office in the USA and a significant presence in Randia as Woogle Randia Pvt. Ltd, acted swiftly. Woogle Randia Pvt. Ltd, registered under the Indian Companies Act, enjoys various benefits provided by the Central Government of Randia. It is among the few larger-scale companies that receive the highest grants from the Randian Government under the Multiplier Grants Scheme.

On 31-May-2023, the company dismissed its top five employees from Dhihar State, all of whom had been hired based on their status as toppers in the 2022 examinations. The company alleged that these employees, placed in high-ranking positions, did not meet the expected standards of credibility.

Woogle Randia defended its actions, citing a unique hiring policy. The company claimed to offer positions with lucrative packages to the toppers of every state directly, without the need for an interview. According to Woogle Randia, this policy was a strategic move to attract the best talent directly from academic institutions.

The company further substantiates its actions by referencing a reliable clause in the Employment Contract. The specific clause states:

"Every employee will be placed under a Probation Period for 3 years from the date of employment, and Woogle Randia Pvt. Limited has full discretion to dismiss an employee during the Probation Period on unsatisfactory or other grounds."

The dismissed employees, however, protested their abrupt termination. They argued that their hiring was in accordance with Woogle Randia's policy, and they should not be held responsible for the conduct of the toppers in the subsequent year's examinations. The previous year toppers, in turn, contended that the questions posed to the existing toppers during the media interviews did not accurately represent their overall knowledge and capabilities, making their dismissal unjust.

In the intervening time, Woogle Randia Pvt. Ltd also amended pertinent clause of its Hiring Policy to explicitly exclude the recruitment of individuals who rank as toppers from the State of Dhihar.

The Chief Minister of Dhihar writes a letter to the CEO of Woogle Randia to withdraw the amendment as the Scandal was pending before CB-CID.

In such time, Mars TV organized an exclusive talk show featuring Woogle Randia's CEO, Ms. Lydia Persiana, where she responded critically to a letter from the Chief Minister, stating, "I don't understand why the CM is interfering in our business affairs. This suggests he is keen on protecting the wrongdoers, and who knows, he might be one himself." This remark stirred controversy, prompting widespread calls for Lydia to apologize from party members across the state.

Within half an hour, Ms. Lydia appeared on television, retracting her statement and offering unconditional apologies. However, tragedy struck as her car collided and she met with an accident, resulting in her untimely demise. Due to the suspicious circumstances surrounding the incident, the police registered an FIR, accusing the dismissed employees Atharv, Aarnik, Noman, Priyanshu, and Laraib. The charge sheet has been filed, and the case is currently pending in the court of sessions.

The opposition leader, suspecting foul play, demanded a CBI investigation. Despite the opposition's request, the state government declined to initiate such an inquiry. In response, the leader of the Opposition, representing the All Randia People's Party, filed a petition before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking an order for a CBI investigation. The matter is currently pending before the High Court

The deteriorating situation of DSEB Scandal is exacerbated by the unfolding crisis in the state of Dhihar, where a long-standing scandal known as the Dhihar Public Services Examination Board (DPSEB) Scam has sent shockwaves across the nation. Spanning three decades, this meticulously orchestrated operation involves a nexus of politicians, officials, and businessmen who exploited their positions to manipulate entrance exams, recruitment processes, and subvert the system for personal gain. Unearthed in 2023, the scandal implicated numerous individuals in a web of irregularities, including impersonation, copying, manipulation of records and answer sheets, and leaking the answer key.

The revelation of the DPSEB Scam was brought to light by Mars TV, a reputable news channel, during its comprehensive coverage of the operation. The channel disclosed various tactics employed by those involved in the scam, which include:

- 1. Impersonation:** Accomplished students, including top candidates from previous years or practicing doctors, were remunerated to impersonate exam candidates. The photograph on the candidate's admitting card was surreptitiously replaced by the impersonator's photograph, and it was switched back to the original after the exam. This collusion involved corrupt board officials.
- 2. Copying:** Undeserving candidates bribed board officials through intermediaries to be strategically seated next to a brilliant dummy candidate, who was also compensated. The dummy candidate facilitated the copying of answers during the exam or exchanged answer sheets at the conclusion of the test.
- 3. Manipulation of Records and Answer Sheets:** Undeserving candidates left their OMR answer sheets blank or filled in only the answers they were confident about. Corrupt board officials manipulated records to assign randomly high percentages to these candidates. To evade detection during audits, they filed RTI requests to view the answer sheets, allowing them to fill in the answers according to the predetermined marks.
- 4. Leaking the Answer Key:** Corrupt board officials leaked the answer key to selected candidates, providing them with an unfair advantage in the examination process.

Upon the revelation of the involvement of organized crime rackets and politicians in the scam, the state government took decisive action by establishing a Special Task Force (STF) within the police on 26 August 2023 to conduct a thorough investigation. Subsequently, numerous activists and politicians, including members of the prominent opposition party, the All Randia People's Party, called for a Central Bureau of Investigation inquiry into the scam. Despite these demands, the State government rejected the proposal for a CBI inquiry. Upon such rejection, the leader of opposition in his party name, files a petition before the Hon'ble High Court urging for CBI enquiry into the Scam. The status of the case remains pending.

The Honourable High Court of Dihar, considering the common nature, petitioner, and subject matter related to the demand for a CBI inquiry, formulated Issue No.1. Exercising its authority to transfer cases, the Hon'ble High Court has redirected the ongoing criminal case, involving allegations against five dismissed employees, to be heard by itself. In this context, the court has also established Issue No. 2. Although the central focus of the matter before the Hon'ble court pertains to the direction for a CBI inquiry, the High Court, in the exercise of its inherent power, has additionally framed Issue No. 3 with the objective of ensuring the administration of justice.

- 1. Whether a CBI investigation is warranted for the DSEB Scandal, Ms. Lydia Persiana Accident, and DPSEB Scam?**
 - 2. Whether the accusation against Atharv, Aarnik, Noman, Priyanshu, and Laraib is legally justified?**
 - 3. Whether the actions of Woogle Randia Pvt. Ltd in response to the DSEB scandal are justifiable?**
- These connected matters are now set for hearing.

Respective teams can frame the sub-issues if necessary.

Disclaimer:

The moot proposition is presented solely for the purpose of academic debate and discussion. It does not necessarily reflect the personal views, opinions, or beliefs of any individual or organization associated with its presentation. The proposition is crafted to stimulate critical thinking and foster a constructive exchange of ideas within the context of a moot court or debate setting. Any resemblance to real events, situations, or perspectives is purely coincidental, and the intention is to provide a platform for intellectual exploration rather than to endorse a particular stance or position. Participants are encouraged to approach the moot proposition with an open mind and engage in a respectful and scholarly dialogue, understanding that the exercise is designed to enhance analytical and advocacy skills rather than advocate for a specific viewpoint.

Additionally, it is important to note that the conduct and proceedings of the moot are governed by the rules and regulations issued by the Directorate of Legal Studies, Chennai. Participants are expected to adhere to these rules, ensuring a fair and orderly competition environment

Further it is to be noted that the Laws of the Republic of Randia are pari materia with the laws of India.





**THE DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL STUDIES,
CHENNAI**

**OFFICIAL RULES OF
THE STATE MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2024**

**PREPARED BY
TAMIL NADU STATE MOOT COMMITTEE**



OFFICIAL RULES OF THE TAMIL NADU STATE MOOT COURT COMPETITION

1. DEFINITIONS

- a) **Advanced Round** refers to the Quarter-finals, Semi-finals and Final rounds of the Competition.
- b) **Clarifications** refer to the clarifications and corrections to the Moot Problem/Rules issued by the Host College/Organizing Committee.
- c) **Competition** refers to the State Moot Court Competition to be conducted by the Directorate of Legal Studies.
- d) **Memorial** refers to the written arguments (Hard / Soft Copies) submitted, on behalf of both the Parties, in accordance with the Rules by each team. Memorials may also be referred as “Written Submissions”.
- e) **Official Website** refers to the website www.tndls.ac.in.
- f) **Oral Rounds** refer to a team’s pleadings submitted orally by both Speakers before the Judge(s) on behalf of either one of the Parties, allotted by the Organizing Committee through lot and / or Fixture system.
- g) **Organising Committee (“OC”)** means the State Moot Committee for the purpose of supervision of conducting State Moot Court Competition and for the other purpose Host College shall be the organising committee.
- h) **Plagiarism** means and includes,
1. Representation of someone else’s ideas or words mentioned in books, articles or any other sources as one’s own;
 2. To present the content as new and original, which is derived substantially from an existing source;
 3. Copying words or ideas from someone else without giving due credit or acknowledgment;
 4. Changing words but copying the sentence structure of a source without giving credit; .
 5. Copying so many words or ideas from a source that it makes up
 6. Taking printout of someone’s memorials and submitting it as one’s memorial.
- l) **Rebuttal** refers to the counter/arguments presented by the Petitioner in response to the Respondent’s submission, at the end of the main pleadings of all the Speakers.
- m) **Researcher** refers to that member of a participating team specifically mentioned at the time of registration, if it necessitates the researcher will be given a chance to be a speaker at the discretion of the Organizing Committee.
- n) **Researcher’s Test** refers to the written test conducted during the Competition.
- o) **Rules** refer to the rules postulated in this document and any other Regulations, Instructions and Guidelines issued by the Organizing Committee may from time-to-time.
- p) **Speaker** refers to that member of the team who will make oral pleadings before the Judges in the Oral Rounds.
- n) **Sur-rebuttal** refers to counter argument presented by the Respondents to the rebuttal.
- o) **Team Code** refers to the unique code allotted to each participating team for the purpose of this Competition by the Organizing Committee.

2. PARTICIPATION AND ELIGIBILITY

2.1 All the educational institution imparting legal education in the State of Tamil Nadu are eligible to nominate their undergraduate students pursuing 3 years/5-year law degree courses for participation in the State level Moot Court Competition.

2.2 All the team members must be students pursuing their 3years / 5years Bachelor's degree in law. The year of study must be mentioned while registering for the competition along with the copy of the college ID card and a Bonafide certificate signed and sealed by the Head of the Institution.

2.3 No Registration Fee for a team to participate in the State level Moot Court Competition.

2.4 Only one team is eligible to participate representing the institutions. Soliciting in any form with regard to team allotment will strictly lead to disqualification from the competition.

2.5 A team code will be allotted to each team by the moot organizers. The same shall be the identity for all the correspondence.

2.6 Provisional registration is permitted from the date of the announcement of the competition as mentioned in the event scheduled.

2.7 The Registration shall be done by sending Hard and Soft Copies of the Registration forms to the Organizing Committee.

2.8 Once the Registration form submitted the acknowledge mail will be sent within two working days by the Organizing Committee to the respective teams.

2.9 The team comprising of 3 members is mandatory. While registering for the competition, it is mandatory to nominate two speakers and one researcher. The Committee will not entertain any change in the nomenclature of Speaker-1, Speaker-2 and Researcher as nomination by the Participating team at the time of Provisional Registration, except under extraordinary circumstances at the discretion of the Organizing Committee.

2.10 All Team members and Faculty-in-charge are advised to regularly check the official website / E-mail of the organizing committee for any updates on the competition.

3. LANGUAGE

The State Moot Court Competition will be held in English Language only.

4. STRUCTURE OF THE MOOT

4.1 The Competition will consist of Preliminary Rounds, Quarter final Rounds, Semi-Final Rounds and Final Round. The top 8 teams from the preliminary rounds on the basis of the total marks scored shall qualify to participate in the Quarter Finals. Winners of the Quarter-Finals will proceed to Semi- Finals. Winners in the Semi-finals will qualify for the Final Round.

4.2 Teams shall argue cases against each other. For the said purpose, fixture for the competition will be decided by draw of lots prior to each round of the competition.

4.3 The moot will be held through offline / physical mode only except in extra-ordinary circumstance warranting, holding of Moot through online mode at the discretion of the organizing committee.

5. RESEARCHER'S TEST

5.1 Participation in the Researcher's Test is mandatory for all teams and failure to participate may result in disqualification of the team.

5.2 The researcher nominated by the institution through the registration form will be allowed to write the researcher's test.

5.3 The duration of the Researcher's Test shall be ONE hour and may include both multiple choice as well as subjective questions. The number of questions, type, etc., shall be at the discretion of the Organizing Committee.

5.4 The objective of the Researcher's Test is to test the knowledge of the law involved as well as the factual details of the Moot Problem and the application of the relevant law to the circumstances in the Moot Problem.

5.5 Researcher's Test shall not be conducted in the open book format i.e., notes, bare acts, books or any other material or electronic aid shall not be permitted during the test.

5.6 Based on the score in the Researcher's Test the Best Researcher will be selected.

6. PRELIMINARY ROUND

6.1 The procedures of the preliminary rounds begin with the draw of lots on the day fixed by the Organizing Committee.

6.2 Each team will be given 30 minutes to present their oral pleadings and no additional time shall be provided. The team can reserve 2 minutes for Rebuttal and Sur-Rebuttal each from their allotted 30 minutes. The time reservation shall be made before the commencement of each round. The same must be notified to the court officials nominated by the organizing committee.

6.3 In the preliminary rounds there shall be two rounds fixed on the basis of the lot picked by each team.

6.4 Fixture will be in such a way that in the preliminary rounds each team will argue on both the side against different teams.

6.5 Each Speaker in the team shall be assessed separately and the consolidated score with the marks of the memorial shall be the score of the team for preliminary rounds only. 6.6 The individual scores of the speakers in both the preliminary rounds excluding the memorial marks shall be the deciding marks for selecting the Best Speakers of the Moot Competition.

7. QUARTER - FINAL ROUNDS .

7.1 Top 8 teams on the basis of the marks obtained in both the preliminary rounds together with the memorial marks shall qualify for the Quarter final rounds.

7.2 The Quarter final shall be a knock out round, where the side for pleading shall be determined on the basis of draw of lots or Fixtures.

7.3 Each team will get a minimum of 40 minutes to argue from the allotted side, including 3 minutes time that shall be reserved for Rebuttal and Sur-Rebuttal each.

7.4 The time reserved for Rebuttal and Sur-rebuttal shall be before the commencement of the rounds. The same must be notified to the court officials nominated by the organizing committee.

7.5 Time-extension for a maximum of 2 minutes may be granted to each team at the discretion of the Judges.

8. SEMI - FINAL ROUNDS

8.1 The winning teams of the quarter finals will qualify for the semi-final rounds.

8.2 The semi-final shall be a knock out round, where the side for pleading shall be determined on the basis of draw of lots or Fixtures.

8.3 Each team will get a minimum of 40 minutes to argue from the allotted side, including 3 minutes time that shall be reserved for Rebuttal and Sur-rebuttal for each team.

8.4 Time-extension for a maximum of 3 minutes may be granted to each team at the discretion of the Judges.

9. FINAL ROUND

9.1 The winning teams of the Semi Final Rounds shall qualify for the Final round.

9.2 The side for pleading shall be determined on the basis of draw of lots or Fixtures.

9.3 Each team will get a minimum of 40 minutes to argue, with an additional 5 minutes for Rebuttal and Sur-rebuttal for each team.

9.4 Time-extension for a maximum of 5 minutes may be granted to each team at the discretion of the Judges.

9.5 In case of tie the memorial marks shall be considered.

10. PROHIBITION OF SCOUTING / ELECTRONIC GADGETS

Scouting in any form and the use of electronic gadgets during the oral pleadings are strictly prohibited and if any team indulges in such act shall be terminated from the competition.

11. DRESS CODE

The participants shall adhere to the following dress code while in the court rooms:

11.1 Gentle women: White Salwar /Kurta with Black Bottoms or White Shirt and Black Trousers along with a Black Blazer and Black Shoes.

11.2 Gentlemen: White Shirt, Black Trousers, a Black Tie, a Black Blazer / Coat and Black Shoes.

12. NON-DISCLOSURE CLAUSES

The Name of the Institution shall not be revealed and the team has to refer themselves with the team code throughout the competition. Team must not reveal the identity of their institution to the Judges at any time during any round. All instances of such disclosure shall be treated as Disqualification of the team.

13. CLARIFICATIONS

Teams may request for corrections and clarifications in the moot problem by submitting a written request to the email ID provided by the Organizing Committee on or before the date mentioned in the official notification. All the clarifications will be collated and the response will be provided vide a E-mail on the date as specified by the Organizing committee to all the participating Colleges. No further clarifications shall be entertained after the said date.

14. DECLARATION OF RESULTS

The declaration of results for each round will be declared within a maximum time limit of One hour. The results declared by the organizing committee shall be final and binding.

15. SUBMISSION OF MEMORIALS

15.1 Each team participating in the event must prepare memorials on behalf of the Petitioner / Appellant / Applicant / Plaintiff side and Respondent / Opposite Party / Defendant / Other side.

15.2 The cover page of the memorials shall be **BLUE COLOUR** in the case of Petitioner / Appellant / Applicant / Plaintiff side and **RED COLOUR** in the case of Respondent / Opposite Party / Defendant / Other side memorial.

15.3 Team code shall be printed in the Top Right corner of the cover page.

15.4 And the team code shall not be reflected anywhere other than the cover page of the respective memorials.

15.5 The soft copy of the memorials in PDF and word format shall be submitted to the E-mail ID of the organizing committee as mentioned brochure.

15.6 The soft copy of the memorial forwarded within the deadline to the email of the organizing committee shall be FINAL AND BINDING. The same shall not be altered, changed for any reason at any point of time.

15.7 The FIVE HARD COPIES of the memorials for each side shall be soft bounded & submitted in person to the organizing committee on the date specified by the organizing committee. Participants are advised to carry extra copies of their Memorials for their own use. In event the hard copies submitted to the Organizing Committee shall not be returned to the teams concerned.

15.8 The Soft copy and the Hard copy of the memorial submitted shall be the same and identical in all aspects. If any change is found, then the memorial shall be rejected and no marks shall be awarded.

15.9 After the deadline for memorial submission, the memorials that are forwarded to the Email ID will be subject to a penalty of 2 marks for each day of delay.

16. MEMORIAL FORMATTING

16.1 All parts of each Memorial must be contained in a single file.

16.2 Memorials shall be submitted only in PDF format. Memorials that do not confirm to this Rule shall not be accepted.

16.3 All pages of the Memorial must be in A4 size, with margins of at least one inch on all four sides.

16.4 The Memorial shall be in typewritten. The following content specifications shall be strictly adhered to: Language: English Body Font & Size: Times New Roman, 12 Line Spacing: 1.5 [The spacing need not be followed for the Cover Page, Tabular Column, Header or Footer] Footnotes: Font & Size: Times New Roman, 10, Line Spacing: 1.0 Paragraph Spacing: None Substantive Footnoting is strictly prohibited Alignment (Body & Footnotes): Justified Citation Method: The Bluebook / Harvard Law Review, 20th Edition / ILI / A uniform system of citation.

16.5 Memorials submitted for the competition will be subject to plagiarism check.

16.6 The Memorandums shall not contain any Annexure / Appendices, Photographs, Sketches, Exhibits, Affidavits, etc.

17. MEMORIAL STRUCTURE

Each Memorial shall not exceed 35 pages (A4 size), inclusive of cover page and shall contain the following sections:

- i. Cover Page (shall include, Cause Title, Forum/Court, designation as Petitioner or Respondent Memorial).
- ii. Table of Contents
- iii. Index of Authorities
- iv. Statement of Jurisdiction (strictly restrict to 1 page)
- v. Summary / Statement of Facts (strictly restrict to 1 page; argumentative Statement of Facts shall be penalized)
- vi. Issues Raised
- vii. Summary of Arguments / Pleadings
- viii. Arguments Advanced / Written Pleadings
- ix. Prayer

18. COMPENDIUM

18.1 All relevant case laws and statutory material to be passed on to the Judges during the Oral Rounds shall be submitted in the form of a Compendium to the organizing committee during the submission of the hard copies of the memorial.

18.2 The teams have to keep a hand copy of the compendium for their reference during argument before the judges. In event the compendium submitted to the Organizing Committee shall not be returned to the teams concerned.

18.3 Participants shall ensure that anonymity is not violated in the compendium.

18.4 If any mark, name, seal, symbol or logo of the institution / college is present, participants must ensure that the same is properly shaded to maintain anonymity. Violation of anonymity shall result in immediate disqualification.

19. ASSESSMENT OF MEMORIALS

The memorials shall be assessed out of 100 marks, by a team of experts nominated by the organizing committee on the pre-determined criterion, as specified here under:

Content	Marks
Clarity of Facts	15
Incorporation of Facts into the arguments	20
Use of Authorities / Precedents	20
Knowledge and interpretation of applicable laws	20
Grammar and Style of presentation	10
Originality	10
Appropriate Relief Prayed	05
TOTAL	100

20.ASSESSMENT OF ORAL SUBMISSIONS

Team performance of the orators shall be judged in all rounds on the basis of following criterion;

Content	Marks
Knowledge of Facts	10
Knowledge of the applicable law	10
Interpretation of facts and Law	20
Argumentative Skills	15
Clarity of Thoughts and Expression	15
Courtroom Conduct	10
Ability to response to the questions	10
Overall presentation style, poise and demeanour	10
TOTAL	100

21.AWARDS AND HONOURS

21.1 CERTIFICATE OF MERIT: The winner of the Final Round shall be declared as the “Winner” of the competition and shall be awarded a certificate of merit and winner trophy.

21.2 RUNNER UP: The other team that made it to the Final Round shall be declared “Runners up” and shall be awarded a certificate of merit and runner trophy.

21.3 BEST SPEAKER: Best Speaker (separately for Male and Female) shall be determined on the basis of the highest average marks obtained individually in the preliminary rounds excluding the memorial marks and shall be awarded a certificate of merit and a best speaker trophy.

21.4 BEST MEMORIAL: Best Memorial shall be determined on the basis of the highest marks obtained in the memorial, and shall be awarded a certificate of merit and trophy.

21.5 BEST RESEARCHER: Best Researcher shall be determined on the basis of the highest marks obtained in the in the Researcher Test, and shall be awarded a certificate of merit and trophy.

21.6 CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION: Every participating team shall be awarded a “Certificate of Participation”. “No Certificate of Participation” shall be granted to those teams who failed to submit the memorials in accordance to the rules.

22.ACCOMMODATION, FOOD AND TRAVEL ALLOWANCE

22.1 Accommodation (on sharing basis) to the participating team members of Government Law Colleges will be provided by the Organizing Committee on the request of the teams.

22.2 No additional member shall be accommodated under any circumstances.

22.3 The accommodation shall be subject to the conditions imposed by the Organizing committee.

22.4 Food and snacks shall be provided for the participating team members at the venue of the competition.

22.5 The registered team members of Government Law Colleges alone will be provided with travel allowance on the basis of actual by submitting travel tickets of the bus or train from the studying institution to the venue of the Moot competition.

22.6 In case of train travel the maximum permissible limit for travel allowance is Second Class Sleeper.

22.7 In case of the bus travel the maximum permissible limit for travel allowance is Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation sleeper coach.

22.8 The travel allowance for the return journey is as that of the onward journey.

22.9 The local transit from the place of accommodation to the venue of the Moot competition will be arranged, if necessary, by the Organizing Committee on the timing fixed by them. The teams shall adhere to the timings of the organizing committee, failure on which teams have to make their own arrangements.

23.CODE OF CONDUCT:

23.1 No team members shall indulge themselves in any act of misconduct which is unbecoming of a student.

23.2 No team members shall indulge in any misconduct in or outside the premises and precincts of the venue including the place of accommodation and transits.

23.3 The acts or omissions of any team members undermining the credibility of judges or that of the competition is also misconduct.

23.4 Any behavior of indiscipline with the organizing committee or any other staff member or student volunteer of the venue, damage to the property or good will of the institution shall be dealt with strictly.

23.5 No team shall ask for feedback immediately on completion of any round. Any attempt to approach the framer of the moot problem or judges of the competition, prior to the competition shall lead to the appropriate action by the Organizing Committee.

23.6 Any form of scouting during the Competition is strictly prohibited and shall entail to disqualification of the team.

23.7 Committing of any misconduct by any of the team members shall lead to immediate disqualification of the team. The organizing committee shall recommend to the appropriate authority for disciplinary action.

24.RULES FOR VIRTUAL MODE

Only during Extraordinary circumstances when the offline moot is not possible, Organizing Committee can prefer virtual mode with the prior permission from the Tamil Nadu State Moot Court Committee. The rules and regulations for the virtual mode will be issued at that time of the competition.

25.MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

25.1 In case of any dispute arising out of the interpretation of the Rules, or otherwise, the decision of the Organizing Committee shall be final and binding.

25.2 The State Level Moot Court Competition, 2024 shall be conducted as per the abovementioned Rules. However, the Organizing Committee has powers to amend, vary, alter, and modify the Rules in the event of any exigencies. The same shall be Final and binding upon the Participating Teams. Further, the decision of the Organizing Committee of concerning the conduct of the Competition is final and the same shall be binding upon the Participating Team.

25.3 The Host college is restricted to participate in this competition

SCHEDULE

Release of Moot Proposition	02nd February 2024
Provisional Registration	08th February 2024
Last date for seeking clarification	10th February 2024
Release of clarifications	12th February 2024
Last date for Final Registration via Google Form	14th February 2024
Last date for submission of soft copy of the memorials	22nd February 2024
Last date for submission of hard copy of the memorials	24th February 2024
Date of Competition	01st - 03rd March 2024

Online Registration



<https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1vrTr5C4ofeohHayiC54mzqh1SKWHXaDC1Rb0SD925eE/edit>



STATE LEVEL ENGLISH MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2024
GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE VILUPPURAM

Under the aegis of
DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL STUDIES, CHENNAI

01st - 03rd March, 2024
REGISTRATION FORM

NAME OF THE INSTITUTION			
EMAIL OF THE INSTITUTION			
NAME OF THE FACULTY-IN-CHARGE			
MOBILE NO. OF THE FACULTY-IN-CHARGE			
	SPEAKER 1	SPEAKER 2	RESEARCHER
NAME			
COURSE & YEAR			
MOBILE NO.			
EMAIL ID			
ACCOMADATION & TRAVEL BY	YES/NO BUS/TRAIN	YES/NO BUS/TRAIN	YES/NO BUS/TRAIN
PASSPORT SIZE PHOTOGRAPH			

(P.T.O)



STATE LEVEL ENGLISH MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2024

GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE VILUPPURAM

Under the aegis of

DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL STUDIES, CHENNAI

01st - 03rd March, 2024

REGISTRATION FORM

DECLARATION

We, affirm that all the information provided in the registration for is true. Further, we declare that the institution and its team members will abide by all the rules and regulations as notified throughout the period of competition.

SIGNATURE

**SIGNATURE
FACULTY COORDINATOR**

**SIGNATURE AND SEAL
HEAD OF THE INSTITUTION**

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Faculty Coordinators

Mrs. A. Savitha

Mr. G. Ramajeyam

Ms. J. Jenifer Dyana

Ms. U. A. Mumtaj Begum

Assistant Professors,
Government Law College, Viluppuram.

Student Coordinators

Prasanth D 7904067670

S. Ganesh 8754193680

A. Taibah Fathima 8903788101

P. Jyothilakshmi 9840628691

Students,
Government Law College, Viluppuram.



All are Cordially Invited

Principal,
Faculties Administrative Staffs & Students
Government Law College, Viluppuram

